IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF JULY,
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 20334 of 1994

Between:

M.Satyanarayana Cheetty S/o.M.Ramachandraiah Chetty
Door No.238,Gandhi Road, Tirupathi
Chittoor District

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Tirupathi, Chittoor District
2 Sri P.Rama Murthy Ex.Revenue Divisional Officer,
Tirupati, R/o.RDO's Bunglow, Catchery Road,
Tirupathi, Chittoor Dist
3 Siva Kumar
RDO's Office, Tirupathi, Chittoor Dist
R3 dismissed for default, vide Court order, dated 9.12.1999.
4 Doopam Kamalamma W/o.late Rami Reddy
R/o.160, Nimmakayala Street Tirupathi, Chittoor Dist
R4 dismissed for default, vide Court order, dated 9.12.1999.
5 Doopam Raja Reddy S/o.late Rami Reddy
R/o.160, Nimmakayala Street Tirupathi, Chittoor Dist
6 Doopam MohanReddy S/o.Rami Reddy
R/o.160, Nimmakayala Street Tirupathi, Chittoor Dist

R6 dismissed for default vide Court order, dated 9.12.1999.
7 Doopam Sudhakar Reddy S/o.Rami Reddy
R/o.160, Nimmakayala Street Tirupathi, Chittoor Dist

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an order or direction more in the nature of writ of mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents 2 and 3 in contemplating to pronounce the Judgment in the Inam Appeal Roc.No.6295/92, either ante-dating the same or otherwise subsequent to 1st week of October, 1994 as highly illegal, arbitrary, and totally without jurisdiction and consequently direct the 2nd respondent not to take any further action in the said matter.

For the Petitioner: MR.D. RAMESH, Advocate

For the Respondent No.1: GP FOR REVENUE

For the Respondent Nos.2,5 and 7: None

The Court made the following order:

In this writ petition, the petitioner's complaint was against the non-granting of interim orders pending the substantial appeal under the provisions of the Inams Abolition Act. It is seen from the record that neither there is any application seeking stay nor there is any interim order. Having regard to the long lapse of time, the writ petition has become infructuous.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as infructuous. However, if the appeal is still pending, it shall be open for the competent authority to dispose of the same on merits after giving notice and opportunity to the concerned parties. No costs.

_____

1st July, 2004

sj

Copy to:

1 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Tirupathi, Chittoor District
2 Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Revenue, High Court Building,

Hyderabad. (OUT)

3 Two CD copies.